

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/753,310	12/29/2000		Benjamin N. Eldridge	P34D1-US	8359
75	590	04/23/2003			
Michael Messinger				EXAMINER	
Sterne, Kessler, 1100 New York			ARBES, CARL J		
Ste. 600 Washington, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>3</b> ,				3729	12
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_K				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,				
	09/753,310	ELDRIDGE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	C. J. Arbes	3729					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 F	ebruary 2003 .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			S				
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>42,43,48-50 and 54-68</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>42,43, 48-50 and 54-68</u> is/are rejected	d.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Fuenciae					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, process of an area						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	ity documents have been re						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ceived.					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	on).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  mmal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/753,310

Art Unit: 3729

In view of locating more material prior art the following non-final Office Action is provided. Inasmuch as Applicants' Reply under 37 CFR 1.111 is not pertinent to the hereinbelow given Office Action, filed on or about 13 November 2002, no comments are provided.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 42, 48, 50, 54-58, 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Fjelstad (Pat No. 6,499,216 B1; hereinafter '216)

Claims 42, 48, 50, 54-58, 62 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by '216.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 42, 43, 48-50 and 54-68 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '216).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/753,310

Art Unit: 3729

The '216 teaches a probe card for IC chip. The probe card provides an interconnection between pads on an wafer or a PcB. A plurality of leads extend from a substrate through an encapsulant layer overlying the substrate. Terminals project above the encapsulant layer. These tips engage an electronic element. The card can be made by providing the substrate, a sacrificial layer and leads extending between the sacrificial layer and substrate. The sacrificial layer is removed subsequent to bonding ends which are at a distance from the sacrificial layer to a substrate. To the extent that the '216 fails to teach "spring contact elements" which is believed that it does since the leads taught by the '216 clearly would have spring-like characteristics, it is held that Claim 42 would have been obvious in view of the '216 at the time the instant application was filed. As applied to Claim 43, 49 is mere design choice to provide that the electronic component is a apace transformer inasmuch as there is a lack of criticality with respect to this recitation. Moreover Applicants fails to describe a specific purpose or fails to solve a specific problem therewith

Inasmuch as new prior art is now being applied a response to Applicants' arguments would be irrelevant and therefore is not provided herein.

This Office Action is non-Final.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to C. J. Arbes at telephone number (703)308-1857.

CARL J. ARBES PRIMARY EXAMINER